

Discussion Board Rubric - Response Post

Research-Based Practices & Tutorials

This assessment tool is designed to evaluate a student's college-level discussion board response to classmates' initial posts. This rubric assumes that the instructor requires a posting prior to students generating response posts.

Objective	Excellent	Proficient	Needs Improvement	Incomplete
Content	Addresses key elements of peer's original post.	Addresses the overall message of peer's original post.	Response indicates a misreading of peer's original post.	Does not address peer's original post.
	Meets instructor's length and response expectations.	Meets instructor's length and response expectations.	Responses are too long, but all other expectations met.	Responses are too short, and not enough posts created.
Critical Thinking	Synthesizes original post and proposes further inquiry.	Applies concepts from original post in a new way.	Notes agreement or disagreement with original post, but fails to provide rationale.	Provides a cursory disconnected response.

Language	Syntax is appropriate for course level/audience.	Syntax choices reflect college-level language skills, but not necessarily a sense of audience.	Syntax is neither control nor a sense of audience.	Syntax and structure reflect a lack of exposure to academic texts.
	Sentence structures are varied.	Sentence structures are choppy and/or repetitive.	Sentences are structured incorrectly and/or sloppily.	There are an abundance of incomplete sentences.
	Text is concise and clear.	Text is wordy.	Text is too wordy with inappropriate abbreviations for academic writing.	Text is unedited.
Resources	External references are properly cited.	External references are properly cited.	External references are improperly cited.	External references are not cited.

Updated: 04.2021